

I wish to respond to the Responses to the Examination Authorities Second Written Questions - at ExAQ2.16.9 - Headed "Easton Way".

I respond on my own behalf, on behalf of my wife who is a joint owner of [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and on behalf of the Parish which is concerned about the issue. I can confirm that I spoke on this issue at the CAH1 on Wednesday 10 December. There is absolutely no basis for arguing that the scope of the scheme should be enlarged - the cable access is openly accessible; there is no plan to dig the cables into the highway of Easton Way. Potential traffic management measures such as temporary Speed limits do not justify the intrusion into the functioning of the Highway at this point. And it appears, from what Claire Brodrick said in reply (see at recording 850-854), the idea to seek this additional extension came not as a result of need, but as an idea from the Highway Authority.